A Massive MIMO Sampling Detection Strategy Based on Denoising Diffusion Model Lanxin He, Zheng Wang and Yongming Huang

Presented by: Lanxin He, 30th May 2024





- Introduction

- Simulation results
- Conclusion







Introduction

- Massive MIMO Detection
 - On the uplink side

Diffusion model/score-based generative model

- non-equilibrium statistical physics (diffusion model)
- Denoising score matching
- Denoising diffusion probabilistic model (DDPM)
- Stochastic differential equation (SDE) for unification











- Introduction

- Simulation results
- Conclusion







- System Model $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{n}$
 - $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times K}$ perfectly-known flat Rayleigh fading channel matrix

 - $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ zero-mean additive white Gaussian noise with variance σ_0^2 $Q = \{\pm 1, \pm 3, ..., \pm \sqrt{M} - 1\}$ constellation set for M-ary QAM
 - MAP to ML detection

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{MAP}} &= \arg \max p(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{H}) & \text{unifor} \\ &\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{Q}^{K} & \text{assur} \\ &= \arg \max p(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{x}). & \text{on prive} \end{split}$$

m **nption** $\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_{ML} = \arg \min \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}\|^2$. $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{Q}^{K}$ IOr



Hx

HÂ



- Denoising Score-Matching
 - Definition of score: $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}) \triangleq \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log p(\mathbf{x})$
 - score matching $s_{\theta}(x)$ Approximate s(x)
 - Denoising score matching to perturb: $q_{\sigma}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = \int p(\mathbf{x})q_{\sigma}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}|\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}$ With Gaussian kernel (perturbation) $q_{\sigma}(\mathbf{\tilde{x}}|\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{\tilde{x}};\mathbf{x},\sigma^2\mathbf{I})$

 - Optimization criterion

 As long as the perturbation is small enough: $\mathbf{s}_{\theta}^{*}(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log q_{\sigma}(\mathbf{x}) \approx \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log p(\mathbf{x})$



- $\theta = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\sigma}(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}|\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{x})} [\|\mathbf{s}_{\theta}(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}) \nabla_{\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}} \log q_{\sigma}(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}|\mathbf{x})\|^{2}]$

Annealed Langevin Strategy



Reverse generative process





forward diffusion processes For the Gaussian kernel: $q_{\sigma_t}(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_t|\mathbf{x}) \sim \mathcal{N}(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_t;\mathbf{x},\sigma_t^2\mathbf{I})$

 $\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_0 = \mathbf{x}_t$ $\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1} = \widehat{\mathbf{x}}_{L_A}$



- Annealed Langevin sampling detection (ALS) [1]
 - Posterior score matters
 - Applying the Bayes' rule $\nabla_{\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_{t}} \log p(\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_{t} | \mathbf{y}) = \nabla_{\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_{t}} \log p(\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_{t}) + \nabla_{\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_{t}} \log p(\mathbf{y} | \widehat{\mathbf{x}}_{t})$
 - SNIPS Method: evaluate the score explicitly
 - Involving an SVD decomposition of the channel matrix ${f H}$
 - list detection $\mathcal{L} = \{ \widehat{\mathbf{x}}^{(1)}, \widehat{\mathbf{x}}^{(2)},$

 $\mathbf{x}{\in}\mathcal{L}$

 $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{n}$

$$\cdots, \widehat{\mathbf{x}}^{(S)} | \widehat{\mathbf{x}} \sim p(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y}) \}$$

 $\widehat{\mathbf{x}} = \arg \min \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}\|^2$

^[1] N. Zilberstein, C. Dick, R. Doost-Mohammady, A. Sabharwal, and S. Segarra, "Annealed Langevin dynamics for massive MIMO detection," IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun., vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 3762-3776, 2023.



- Introduction

- Simulation results
- Conclusion







Approximate Diffusion Detection Strategy

- objective
 - to circumvent the SVD decomposition
 - To find a flexible sampling structure that can be extended to *deep* generative detection network
- Main idea
 - Incorporate with an iterative detection method to sample from an approximate posterior distribution

posterior distribution $p(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y})$

Untractable

Approximate posterior distribution $\hat{p}(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y})$



Approximate Diffusion Detection Strategy



- Give a direction in the sampling space $\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_i = \widehat{\mathbf{x}}_{i-1} + \frac{\delta_t}{2} \mathbf{s}_{\theta}(\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_{i-1}, \sigma_t) + \sqrt{\delta_t} \mathbf{w}_i, i = 1, 2, \cdots, L_A$ Random walk
- Apply a deterministic method stochastically

Inspiration to propose Approximate Diffusion Detection (ADD) Strategy

Approximate Diffusion Detection Strategy

• Structure



- Details on the score calculation
 - The denoisor
 - 1-D Lattice Gaussian Distribution (LGD)

$$p_{\mathcal{Q}}(x_k = \widehat{x}_k; \overline{x}_k, \sigma) \triangleq \frac{1}{Z_{\mathcal{Q}}} \exp\left(\frac{-\|\widehat{x}_k - \overline{x}_k\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right), \widehat{x}$$

$$Z_{\mathcal{Q}} = \sum_{\widehat{x}_k \in \mathcal{Q}} \exp\left(\frac{-\|\widehat{x}_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

Tweedie's identity

$$\mathbf{s}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) = \frac{\mathcal{D}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) - \bar{\mathbf{x}}}{\sigma^2}$$



 $\widehat{v}_k \in \mathcal{Q},$

- Complexity
 - Take conjugated gradient descent (CGD) as an instance ADD $O(NK^2 + T(NK + T_{iter}K^2 + MK))$ $O(NK^2 + L_AT(K^2 + MK))$ calculation of Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H}$

Extension to deep generative detection network

For the Gaussian kernel: $\nabla_{\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}} \log q_{\sigma}$

Training of a denoising score network $\ell($

Consider the reparameterization $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x} + \sigma \mathbf{z}$

Minimum MSE denoiser $\mathbf{s}(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}, \sigma) = \frac{\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}) - \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}}{\sigma^2}$ $\ell($

ALS SVD computation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{r}}(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}} \mid \mathbf{x}) &= -(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x})/\sigma^{2} \\ (\theta; \sigma) &= \mathbb{E}_{p(\mathbf{x})} \mathbb{E}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{x}} \sim q_{\sigma}(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}} \mid \mathbf{x})} \left[\left\| \mathbf{s}_{\theta}(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}, \sigma) + \frac{\widetilde{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}}{\sigma^{2}} \right\|^{2} \right] \\ (\theta; \sigma) &= \mathbb{E}_{p(\mathbf{x})} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})} \left[\left\| \mathbf{s}_{\theta}(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}, \sigma) + \frac{\mathbf{z}}{\sigma} \right\|^{2} \right] \\ & \text{unsupervised trai} \\ (\theta; \sigma) &= \mathbb{E}_{p(\mathbf{x})} \mathbb{E}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{x}} \sim q_{\sigma}(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}} \mid \mathbf{x})} \left[\left\| \mathcal{D}_{\theta, \sigma}(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}) - \mathbf{x} \right\|^{2} \right] \\ & \text{supervised trainir} \end{aligned}$$





- Introduction

- Simulation results
- Conclusion







Simulation results



 32×32 QPSK Performance



N = KRunning time

A better performance-complexity trade-off

- Introduction

- Simulation results
- Conclusion



Conclusion

- Circumventing the SVD calculation of the existing score-based detection A strategy that can be applied to other gradient-based methods flexibly Applying a deterministic algorithm stochastically

- Future work
 - Network structure for the extended deep generative detection network Formulation of the proposal distribution





Thanks for listening, any questions?



email: lanxinhe27@gmail.com



